

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,115	02/26/2002	Masahide Hoshino	219865US0	3520
22850 7:	590 07/01/2003			
-	VAK, MCCLELLAN	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA			YU, GI	NA C
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1617	1,
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	10/082,115	HOSHINO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gina C. Yu	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 1	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims A) Claim(a) 1.14 in/ore pending in the application					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	<i>:</i>				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in	Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-6, and 12-14, drawn to a composition, classified in class 424, subclass 401.
- II. Claims 7, 10, and 11, drawn to a method of reinforcing the water retaining ability of horny layers, classified in class 514, subclass 847.
- III. Claims 8, 10, and 11, drawn to reinforcing the skin barrier functions, classified in class 514, subclass 613.
- IV. Claims 9, 10, and 11, drawn to a method of treating hair, classified in class 424, subclass 70.1.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of reinforcing water retaining ability of horny layer can be practiced by using a materially different type of a moisturizing composition.

Similarly, inventions I and III are distinct, since the method of reinforcing skin barrier function as claimed in invention III can be practiced by using skin barrier compositions that are materially different from the product of invention I. For example, skin barrier compositions comprising sterols and ceramides are well known in the art.

Art Unit: 1617

Inventions and I and IV are also indistinct, since the method of remedying excessive dry hair as claimed in invention IV can be practiced by using compositions that is materially different from the composition of invention I. For example, treating dry hair with emollients such as olive oil is well known in the art.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the method of reinforcing the water retaining ability of horny layer and the method of remedying excessive hair dryness are not disclosed as capable of use together, and they have different functions and effects.

Similarly, inventions III and IV are unrelated. The claimed method of reinforcing skin barrier function and the method of remedying excessive hair dryness are not disclosed as capable of use together, and they have different functions and effects.

Inventions II and III are also unrelated, as the claimed method of reinforcing water retaining ability of horny layer as claimed in invention II and the method of reinforcing skin barrier ability are not disclosed as capable of use together, and they have different functions and effects.

These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter. The search required for Group I is not required for Groups II, III, or IV. The search required for Group II is not required for Groups III or IV. The search required for Group III is not required for Groups IV. The claims as presented would impose serious

Art Unit: 1617

burden on the examiner to conduct meaningful search and examination. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is thus proper.

Claim 14 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the diamides of formula (C). Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention and/or a single disclosed species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 703-308-3951.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Gina C. Yu Patent Examiner June 27, 2003

> SREENI PADMANABHAN DRIMARY EXAMINER

6/29/03